#### Pavement Management Implementation Summary Presentation to the Council of the City of Cold Lake, AB

February, 2019



### Agenda

- Pavement Management, Definition & Benefits
- Pavement Management Process
- Road\Sidewalk Network Inventory
- Performance Indices
- Data Collection
- > Analysis Results
- Budget Effect on Performance
- M&R Recommendations
- > A Final Remark

# Pavement Management, Definition & Benefits

### What is Pavement Management

Pavement Management is a process to plan the maintenance and rehabilitation of a road network, to **optimize** pavement condition **and** spending over the entire network.

- Research has shown that it is far less expensive to keep a road in good condition than it is to repair it once it has deteriorated.
- PMS places the priority on cost-effective solutions that provide the most benefit to the overall network. e.g. Presentative Maintenance

### **Benefits of PMS**

- Provides Present Status for each section in the network and for the entire network.
- Justify maintenance & rehabilitation program expenditures.
- > Realize magnitude of pavement investment.
- Better chance of correct decisions.
- Objective answers to effects of lower funds on condition, implications of deferred work and/or lower standards.
- Comparative view of network condition (current & future).
- > Preserve knowledge for succession.

# Pavement Management, Process

#### Asset Management Process Five Questions to Answer

- 1. What have we got?
- 2. Where is it?
- 3. What is the Condition of It?
- 4. What Maintenance/Rehab is needed and when?
- 5. What investment is required?

### Pavement Management Process, Cont.



# Road/Sidewalk Network Inventory

### **Road Network Inventory**

Functional Class	No. of Sections	CL Length (km)	Lane Length (km)
Arterial	73	22	46
Collector	108	22	43
Local	546	74	149
Total*	727	118	238

\* Intersections and Turning Lanes (7.8 CL kms) are excluded.



### Sidewalk Network Inventory



116 survey-km of roads with no sidewalk

Curb/Gutter records

110 survey-km of roads with sidewalk

- Curb/Gutter records
- Sidewalk Distresses
- Ramps/Walkability

2015 Sidewalk Survey Location Map

# Performance Indices

### **Performance Indices**

Pavement Performance Indices

- RCI (Ride Comfort Index)
- VCI (Visual Condition Index)
- SAI (Structural Adequacy Index)
- PQI (Pavement Quality Index)

#### PQI = f (RCI, VCI, SAI)

Sidewalk Performance Index

SCI (Sidewalk Condition Index)

#### **POI** Representation















# Data Collection

### Data Collection – Automated



### Data Collection – Falling Weight Deflectometer

- A non-destructive test device.
- Good repeatability, accurate & fast
- Wide loading range (1,500-27,000 lbf).





- 1. Structural Adequacy Index (SAI)
- 2. Resilient Modulus (M<sub>R</sub>) of Subgrade



#### Data Collection – Falling Weight Deflectometer



### Data Collection – Falling Weight Deflectometer



### **Data Collection - Sidewalk**

No SIM 🗢	and the second	2:34 PM	and all the second second second	@ ┩ 74% ■ )
Samples St	atic Dynamic -114.00056, 5	1.04930, ±65.0m	n, D:0.0 mi, #:1	O Save
Segment ID	í		%:0.0	
0	WxL: 0 x 0	= 0	%:0.0	
Туре	SW NO-SW	LatSeq	1 2 3 4	
SW_Width	SW_Width	Slab_Leng	Slab_Leng	
SW_Type	MONO SEPAR	SW_Materia	Asph PCC Stone Masn Ruber	
Curb_Type	Cr_Gtr Barr Mntbl Mower Other	Curb_Other	Curb_Other	
Curb_Mat	Asph PCC Stone Masn Ruber	Curb_Cond	Poor Fair Good	
Blockface	N S E W	P_Speed	P_Speed	
Adj_prop	None Office Retail Resid Indus	T_S_Imped	Yes No	
Blvd_Width	Blvd_Witth	Blvd_Mat	Asph PCC Stone Masn Ruber	
Surface F	ault Shatter C-Break C-Spa	II J-Spall Lg-P	at Sm-Pat L-Crack Scaling	
Fault (i	Low Med High	1	<b>1</b>	• •
Distress c	ross Dip Pop PCC-Gri	nd F-AC F-PC	C Misalign Veget	
Cross 🧃	Yes No		ľÒ	• ×
Trip Tr	ip-haz			
Trip-haz (i	Yes No		20	• •
Para_Ramp	Beg End Int			
Beg 🧃	None Pass Fail		<b>ľ</b> (d)	• •
Bus Stop Bu	is_stop Bench Shelter Grbg	_bin		~ ~
Bus (i	Yes No	ļ	6	• ×
Crossing X	Provide Paint_wik OH_Flash	Half_Sign		~ ~
он_ғ ( <b>і</b>	Yes No		l ()	$\mathbf{+}$ $\mathbf{\times}$
X_Pr (i	) Yes No		l ()	+ ×
Images				

Faulting Linear Cracking Shattering Durability Cracking Scaling Corner Breaks Joint Spalling Corner Spalling Patching – Large Patching – Small





SCI (Sidewalk Condition Index)

### 1) Faulting

Low Severity



#### **Medium Severity**



#### 2) Linear Cracking

Low Severity



#### Medium Severity





#### 3) Shattering

Low Severity

#### Medium Severity









#### 4) Durability Cracks

Low Severity



#### Medium Severity





### 5) Scaling

Low Severity



#### Medium Severity





#### 6) Corner Breaks

Low Severity





**Medium Severity** 





### 7) Joint Spalling

Low Severity

#### Medium Severity





### 9) Patching – Large (>= 3.0m)

Low Severity

#### **Medium Severity**







# Analysis Results - Roads



### **Roads Network 2016 Status**

#### 2016 Performance

Functional Class	Min PQI	RCI	VCI	SAI	PQI
Arterial	65	63	70	88	79
Collector	60	56	66	74	69
Local	55	44	63		59
Paved Network		50	65	81	65

#### 2016 Needs

Functional Class	Min	LL-KM	%	
	PQI		Deficiency	
Arterial	65	12.5	27	
Collector	60	11.8	27	
Local	55	63.8	46	
Paved Network		50	38	



# **Roads Present Status/Needs**

#### 2019 Performance

Functional Class	Min PQI	RCI	VCI	SAI	PQI
Arterial	65	65	78	90	84
Collector	60	54	65	74	67
Local	55	42	60		56
Paved Network		54	68	82	69

#### 2019 Needs

Functional Class	Min	LL-KM	%	
	PQI		Deficiency	
Arterial	65	4.5	10	
Collector	60	11.5	26	
Local	55	72.1	51	
Paved Network		88.1	29	



#### Roads 2016 Status – How do we Compare?



PQI - Paved Network 2016 (CL – Cold Lake)

**10 Alberta City's** 

### PQI Distribution by Functional Class 2016

Network Present Status Distribution – 2016 Arterials, Paved Network Present Status Distribution – 2016 Collectors, Paved



Network Present Status Distribution – 2016 Locals, Paved



#### PQI Distribution by Functional Class 2019





# Analysis Results - Sidewalks

#### Sidewalks Condition Status 2016



# City-wide Average SCI: 93

Distress Rating	Section Count	Percent (%)	Length (km)
Low (SCI > 70)	821	95	106.4
Medium (50 < SCl ≤ 70)	32	4	3.1
High (SCI ≤ 50)	7	1	0.5
Total	860	100	110

#### **Treatment Slabs and Funding by Neighborhood**

Neighborhood	Average SCI	# of Slabs	# of Slabs in Need	Treatment Cost
Chelsea Court	72	205	23	\$ 20,000
Davie's Place	79	736	43	\$ 18,000
Forest Heights	83	2,539	119	\$ 60,000
Westlawn	86	813	8	\$ 500
Nelson Heights	86	6,918	644	\$ 132,000
Grand Centre West	91	6,912	99	\$ 64,000
Lefebvre Heights	91	2,350	64	\$ 18,000
Grand Centre East	92	6,757	170	\$ 68,000
Lakeside Country Estates	92	691	30	\$ 12,000
Brady Heights	92	7,101	79	\$ 33,000
Lakeridge Estates	93	1,336	19	\$ 5,000
Highway Commercial Central	93	1,085	16	\$ 11,000
Delta Lands	93	540	10	\$ 1,000
Dominic School Area	94	2,208	33	\$ 3,000
Colonial Estates	94	806	9	\$ 1,000
Lakeshore Development Area	94	7,876	233	\$ 72,000
Miron / Adeena	95	985	26	\$7,000
1 Ave / Beach Front	95	1,653	86	\$ 16,000
Park Estates	96	626	7	\$ 6,000
Downtown Commercial	96	1,993	18	\$ 17,000
36 Lot Subdivision	97	258	1	\$ 1,000
Lakewood Estates	99	2,553	13	\$ 1,000
	99	1,502	1	\$ 500
Iri City Estates	100	4,267	11	\$ 4,000
	100	2,606	4	\$ 1,000
Parkview Estates	100	2,402	6	\$ 3,000
	100	73	1	\$ 1,000
III City Mail	100	151	0	-
Rold Lake Control South	100	172	0	-
Unlands	100	322	0	-
Skyridor	100	413	0	-
Asnen Pidge	100	592	0	-
	100	1,150	0	-
	Total	69,868	1,773	\$ 577,000

# Sidewalk High Severity Distress Map

#### Legend

- C-Break Misc
- C-Spall
- Fault

•

• Shatter

Scaling

J-Spall • Sm-Pat

•

• L-Crack



#### **Sidewalk Ramps Condition Status**



**Ramp Condition** 

# M&R Recommendations -Roads

#### **Decision Trees – Arterial Roads Example**



M&R Recommendations -Sidewalks

#### Sidewalk M&R Recommendations

Slabs Cost



A Final Remark Three Routes M&R

#### **Three Routes to Pavement Maintenance**



Pavement Age

# Thank You